

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/325,705	06/04/1999	TORU YAMADA	088941-0138	3162	
7	7590 10/21/2002				
FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER		
WASHINGTON HARBOUR 3000 K STREET N W SUITE 500 P O BOX 25696 WASHINGTON, DC 200078696			WONG, A	LLEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,,		2613		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11				
	09/325,705	YAMADA, TORU	\mathcal{M}				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Allen Wong	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum of rill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	ugust 2002 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	1 <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<u> </u>							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(se of Informal Patent Application (PTC					

'Application/Control Number: 09/325,705

Art Unit: 2613

٠,

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been fully read and considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yonemitsu (5,485,279) in view of Matsushima (5,453,788).

Regarding claims 1 and 3, Yonemitsu discloses a method for displaying frames of a dynamic image using single field data from an interlaced encoded image data having a two-field structure, comprising the steps of:

performing inverse quantization of the interlaced encoded image data to obtain DCT (Discrete Cosine Transform) coefficients of each of a plurality of field blocks that comprise a frame (fig.15, element 72);

selecting one of two fields that form the frame, each field consisting of some of the plurality of field blocks (fig.9A, element 52);

adding zero values after the DCT coefficients of each of field block in the selected field in order to obtain compensated DCT coefficients having a data size corresponding to a frame block (fig.15, element 92); and

'Application/Control Number: 09/325,705

Art Unit: 2613

performing inverse DCT of the compensated DCT coefficients to obtain image data corresponding to a frame block (fig.15, element 93); and

displaying the image data (fig.15, note "SDTV SIGNAL" is the signal displayed at output).

Although Yonemitsu's element 92 is not specifically the "adding the zero values...", as described in the applicant's specification, because the zero values are used to make the block smaller (ie. from 8x8 block to 4x4 block). However, Matsushima teaches the adding of zero values after the DCT coefficients is done to enlarge the image data size (see fig.5C and col.5, lines 4-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teachings of Yonemitsu and Matsushima as a whole for permitting the size adjustment of the selected field block into having the size of the frame block so as to yield superior image quality. Doing so would allow the viewer to clearly see the image data at an appropriate image resolution at a highly efficient decoding speed and reduce costs.

Note claim 3 has similar corresponding elements.

Regarding claims 2 and 4, Yonemitsu discloses the motion compensation process (fig.15, element 76).

Regarding claim 5, Yonemitsu similarly discloses the limitations as elaborated above for claim 1, and in addition, the compressed data buffer (fig.15, element 71; note the compressed data is temporarily stored). Although Yonemitsu does not specifically disclose the frame data buffer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to include a frame data buffer for storing image frame data to prevent loss of

'Application/Control Number: 09/325,705

Art Unit: 2613

important image frame data. Doing so would retain vital image data and prepare it for high-quality image display. Also, memory is extremely affordable and it can be bought at relatively low costs.

Regarding claim 6, Yonemitsu discloses the motion compensation process (fig.15, element 76).

Regarding claim 7, Yonemitsu discloses a display (fig.15, note "SDTV SIGNAL" is the signal displayed at output).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/325,705

Art Unit: 2613

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (703) 306-5978. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Allen Wong Examiner Art Unit 2613

AW

October 16, 2002

CHRIS KELLEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5